Case 1:07-cv-08939-AKH	Document 1	Filed 10/05/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
RICHARD SALVADOR		DOCKET NO.	
Plai	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHE COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	E
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	AINI
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These	nerein in additio	on to those paragraphs	specific to the individual

lual f(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, RICHARD SALVADOR, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

2. Alternatively, \square is the of Decedent	
and brings this claim in his (box) conscitus as of the Estate of	
, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of	

Ca	se 1.07-cv-06939-AKH Document	11 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 01 11
3. citizen of		(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the
Injured Plaint	SPOUSE at all relevant times I, and brin injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:
4. Elevator as a	•	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Nouveau
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
From on or all Approximate	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) bout _9/12/2001_ until _5/12/2002_; ly _12_ hours per day; for ly _240_ days total.	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
☐ The New From on or al Approximate! Approximate!	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh From on or al Approximate	Kills Landfill bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
*Continue th		apper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	above; ✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ringested toxic substances and particulates on all
	dates at the site(s) indicated above; Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and	Injured	l Plaintiff
 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any 	V	§405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
 by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any 		405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any		, , ,
		405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	\square CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
•	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC ☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC. ☐ OTHER: _____

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-08939-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; \square ; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); \square Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); \square Other (specify):			
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial law:	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above soility, and asserts each element necessary to est		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
▼	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-08939-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
▽	Respiratory Injury: Sinus and/or Nasal Problems Date of onset: 3/3/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 3/3/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Eye and/or Ear Problems; Headaches, Dizziness, Migraines Date of onset: 3/3/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the wiff has in the most suffered and/on will in the future suffer the following

dama	ages:
	Pain and suffering
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life
√	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity
▽	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
V	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring ☑ Other: Not yet determined

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York October 4, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Richard Salvador

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
October 4, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK RICHARD SALVADOR, Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at at 20 Μ. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP